

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 05/16/2006

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/702,090	0/702,090 11/06/2003		Kazuyuki Kudo	1767-120	8213
23117	7590	05/16/2006		EXAM	IINER
NIXON &	VANDI	ERHYE, PC	PARRIES, DRU M		
		E ROAD, 11TH FI	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ARLINGTO	N, VA	22203			TAI ER NOMBER
				2836	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary	10/702,090	KUDO ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
The MAII ING DATE of this communication ann	Dru M. Parries	2836					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was precised to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. (D. (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 No)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 November 2003</u> .						
·	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
, ,	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or							
Application Papers		•					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>06 November 2003</u> is/al Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sec ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11-6-03.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D. 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/702,090 Page 2

Art Unit: 2836

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Gale et al. (6,930,404). Gale teaches a first and second electric power supply circuit (14 and 20; Fig. 1). He also teaches a controlling device (41) which controls operations of both power supply circuits so as to correlate to each other. He also teaches keeping each power supply circuit voltage at a predetermined value (42 Volts). He also teaches each of the power supply circuits functioning as part of audio equipment. (Col. 2, lines 36-49)
- 3. Claims 1 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hazelton et al. (6,720,862). Hazelton teaches a first and second power supply lines (line to 30 and 32) and a controller (10) for controlling the operation of the supply lines. He also teaches the first supply line (line to 32) being a backup line in a vehicle and the second line (line to 30) being an accessory line in the vehicle both being supplied from one battery (12).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/702,090

Art Unit: 2836

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 3

- Matsudaira et al. (6,177,739) and Shirai et al. (6,328,394). Matsudaira teaches a first and second power supply circuit (lines with 622 and 623) for supplying power to an image forming apparatus and a controller (66) for controlling their operation at approximately the same voltage. He also teaches each supply circuit having a DC-DC converter (622 and 623). Matsudaira fails to teach turning on and off the two supply circuits depending on the state of the second circuit. Shirai teaches an image forming apparatus with dual supplies. He teaches a detection device (222) detecting the on-off state of the second supply line (power to image recording apparatus) and in turn detecting the necessity of providing power on the first and second supply lines. He goes on to teach turning on both supply circuits when the second supply line is detected to have on-status and turning both circuits off when the second supply line is detected to have off-status. (Col. 8, lines 17-59; Col. 9, lines 4-20). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to implement this method of turning on/off the supply circuits into Matsudaira's invention because operability is improved.
- 6. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Matsudaira et al. (6,177,739) and Shirai et al. (6,328,394) as applied to claims 1 and 3 above, and further in view of Giannopoulos (6,504,267). Matsudaira and Shirai teach a dual power supply circuit as described above. Neither of these references teach a backflow-inhibiting diode. Giannopoulos teaches a backflow-inhibiting diode (above RS₂) on a second supply line (Fig. 3). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to implement this

Application/Control Number: 10/702,090 Page 4

Art Unit: 2836

diode onto the second supply line of Matsudaira's invention so that no stray current will flow and therefore prevent any misinterpretation of the off-status on the second supply line.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dru M. Parries whose telephone number is (571) 272-8542. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday -Thursday from 8:00am to 5:00pm. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Sircus, can be reached on 571-272-2800 x 36. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DMP

5-2-2006

BRIAN SIRCUS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMPLES
TECHNOLOGY CENTER